## **DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE BILL ANALYSIS**

AMENDMENT DATE: June 18, 2009

POSITION: Neutral

June 18, 2009

BILL NUMBER: SB 481

AUTHOR: D. Cox

**SPONSOR:** Sacramento County

**BILL SUMMARY:** Airports: Wildlife

Existing state law regulates the take of wildlife and requires an Incidental Take Permit to take species protected pursuant to the California Endangered Species Act. A federal depredation permit (Permit) authorizes the capture or killing of migratory birds. This bill would authorize in state law the take of wildlife on airports certified by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) pursuant to a Permit.

## FISCAL SUMMARY

The Department of Fish and Game (DFG) would be required to seek reimbursement from an airport for any actions taken pursuant to a violation of the bill. It is unclear how significant those costs would be, but they would not affect existing state funds.

## **SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

Amendments to this bill since our analysis of the April 22, 2009 version do not alter our position. The bill has been amended to clarify that take of wildlife pursuant to the bill would only be authorized for valid public safety reasons consistent with a wildlife management program that emphasizes non-lethal techniques.

## **COMMENTS**

Finance is neutral on this bill because it would clarify the authority of airports to take wildlife, and would not result in additional costs to the state.

The bill clarifies that any taking of wildlife that occurs on an airport certified by the FAA that has obtained, and is in compliance with, a Permit is in compliance with state wildlife laws and regulations as long as (1) any taking occurs on land owned or leased by the airport that is not reserved for habitat mitigation for the species being taken, (2) there is no taking of a fully protected, candidate, threatened, or endangered species, and (3) any take provides a public safety benefit and is performed as part of a wildlife management program that emphasizes non-lethal techniques.

An airport would be required to provide any reports required pursuant to a Permit or Wildlife Hazard Management Plan to the DFG. An airport would also be required to provide access to the DFG for the purpose of monitoring compliance with the Permit.

Airports commonly obtain Permits to authorize the harassment or removal of wildlife to minimize bird strikes or other encounters with wildlife. However, there is no corresponding state statute. This bill would create a corresponding statute to prevent airports from incurring violations of state wildlife laws and regulations in the performance of otherwise lawful activities.

(Continued)

Analyst/Principal (0634) M. Almy	Date	Program Budget Manager Date Karen Finn	
Department Deputy Di	rector		Date
Governor's Office:	Ву:	Date:	Position Approved Position Disapproved
BILL ANALYSIS			Form DF-43 (Rev 03/95 Buff)

(2)

BILL ANALYSIS/ENROL	Form DF-43		
AUTHOR	AMENDMENT DATE	BILL NUMBER	
D. Cox	lune 18, 2009	SR 481	

	SO	(Fiscal Impact by Fiscal Year)					
Code/Department	LA	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Agency or Revenue	CO	PROP					Fund
Туре	RV	98	FC	2008-2009 FC	2009-2010 FC	2010-2011	Code
3600/Dept FishGam	SO	No		No/Mind	or Fiscal Impact		0001